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It just seems that no matter what I do, I'm always just average!
Statistics – Friend or Foe?

“In God we trust, all others bring data.”
- Attributed to William Edwards Deming, Statistician (1900-1993)

“We are drowning in information and starving for knowledge.”
- Rutherford D. Rogers, Librarian
Statistics – Friend or Foe?

• **As a first impression it looks like Statistics is a Foe:**
  • Complex subject made worse by obscure terminology.
  • Statistics is associated with steep learning curves.

• **But it actually can be a Friend:**
  • Many statistical concepts have intuitive meanings, for example:
    • The average (mean) is a number that summarizes the data in a single value.
    • Other statistical summary numbers can be used to interpret large amounts of data helping to focus decision-making processes.

\[
\text{Mean} = \frac{3 + 8 + 4}{3} = \frac{5 + 5 + 5}{3}
\]

This document is privileged and proprietary. Redistribution is not authorized without permission of IntegrityM.
The Role of Data Analytics Today
The Role of Data Analytics Today

- Data Analytics methods are commonly associated with:
  - Statistics
  - Machine Learning
  - Data Mining

- The methods used in the three areas are very similar — fundamentally they are the same
  - They use the same material and almost exactly the same techniques

- However, they have slightly different perspective due to their distinct historical development
  - Statistics
    - The emphasis is on formal statistical inference (confidence intervals, hypothesis tests, optimal estimators)
    - The emphasis is also on testing models and assumptions.
  - Machine Learning
    - The emphasis is on making accurate predictions
    - In particular, on building software systems that make predictions
  - Data Mining
    - The emphasis is on valuable insights (patterns) in large databases
The Role of Data Analytics Today

• “Drowning in information”
  • There is an increase in data collection in both private and government sectors

• In the Healthcare Industry this is characterized by a movement towards collecting large amount of data:
  • Electronic health records
  • Payer claims
  • Pharmacy data
  • Laboratory test results
  • Patient registries
  • Quality Measures Data

• These developments require the use of effective analytical tools to provide oversight of health insurance transactions for compliance checking and fraud detection making smart use of limited audit resources
The Role of Data Analytics Today

• Data is collected and validated — hopefully not garbage .... Then what is next?

• Turn piles of data into actionable insights using the proper analytical tools
  • Non compliant providers can be detected  Cost saving to the program
  • Intervention programs can be developed  Mitigating program issues
  • Edits can be implemented  Continuous monitoring
  • Policies can be updated  More effective regulations

“Big Data is not about the data. Data is easily obtainable and cheap, and more so every day. The analytics that turn piles of numbers into actionable insights is difficult, and more sophisticated every day.”

— Gary King
Major Types of Analytics
Major Type of Analytics

Machine Learning
- Network, graphs
- Weights
- Learning
  - Supervised learning
  - Unsupervised learning
  - Generalization

Statistics
- Model
- Parameters
- Fitting
  - Regression/classification
  - Clustering, density estimation
  - Test set performance
Major Type of Analytics

Unsupervised Learning Methods (Non-Structured Analysis)

- No prior information required
- Outlier detection
  - Classifying data in two subsets,
  - Outlier and within-the-norm providers

Some methods used in fraud detection

- **Time Series Analysis**
  - Trend analysis
  - Spike analysis
- **Cluster Analysis**
  - Based on key similarities within the groups
  - Used to identify sub-specialties among providers according to their billing pattern
- **Link Analysis**
  - Identifying connections between providers
Major Type of Analytics

• **Supervised Learning Methods (Structured Analysis)**
  • Require prior information – at least on a number of outcomes
    • A frequent outcome is “Yes” or “No”, for example, providers could be “Excluded” or “Non-excluded (Active)"
    • The goal is to find the probability that Non-excluded providers will be excluded from the healthcare network based on their billing pattern similarity with the excluded providers

• **Some methods used in fraud detection:**
  • Logistic regression
  • Decision trees
  • Neural network
Major Type of Analytics

**Banking**
- Supervised Learning
- Predict credit worthiness of credit card holders:
  - Build a machine learning model to look for delinquency attributes by providing it with data on delinquent and non-delinquent customers
- Unsupervised Learning
- Segments customers by behavioral characteristics:
  - Survey prospects and customers to develop multiple segments using clustering

**Healthcare**
- Supervised Learning
- Predict patient readmission rates:
  - Build a regression model by providing data on the patients' treatment regime and readmissions to show variables that best correlate with readmissions
- Unsupervised Learning
- Categorize MRI data by normal or abnormal results:
  - Use cluster analysis to group the results into two – within the norm and out of the norm
Understanding Your Data
Understanding Data

More time is spent on understanding the data than conducting the statistical analysis

• Conduct descriptive analysis
• Conduct research on external sources, regulatory analysis/policy analysis related to issues
• Understand the potential outcome — but remember the data may surprise you

Data understanding is our Friend

• This is intuitive, we do this everyday - understanding the data and how the data is generated

Your analysis is only as good as your data.
Understanding Data

Public Data

Medicare Provider Utilization and Payment Data: Physician and Other Supplier Public Use File (Physician and Other Supplier PUF)

- Published by Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- Data is available from 2013 — 2014
- Data includes – Procedure codes, Provider identifier, Provider demographic information, reimbursement amount per procedure code
- The data is being used to illustrate the various methods in this workshop

List of Excluded Individuals and Entities (LEIE)

- Published by the Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services (HHS)
- Includes a List of Individuals and Entities excluded from Federal funded health care programs
- The data is being used to illustrate predictive modeling method
Outlier Detection
Techniques/Statistical Tools
Out, Karl!

Your theory is wrong!

You're three standard deviations above the norm.

Um... thanks?

Love letter from a statistician.

©2009 by Doug Savage
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Raw Data

This data will be used to illustrate the various methods:

- **Five variables**
  - Payment Per Beneficiary
  - Services Per Beneficiary
  - Average Birth Year of Beneficiaries
  - Percentage of Beneficiaries with Diabetes
  - Average Health Risk Score of Beneficiaries

- **100 de-identified Providers**
  - Specialty 01, General Practice
  - Data source: CMS Public use file (PUF)
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics summarizes the data and it is essential to better understand the data.
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Descriptive Statistics

**Mean**
- The average of the values on a given measurement/indicator
- The mean is subject to the pull of influential points/outliers
- \(3,5,5,8, \text{Mean}= 7\)
- \(3,5,5,43, \text{Mean}=14\)

**Median**
- If odd set of numbers then the median is the one middle number
- If even set of numbers then the median is the mean of the two middle numbers
- The median is resilient to influential points/outlier – as long as the middle values remain the same
- \(3,5,5,8, \text{Median}= (5+5)/2 = 5\) (Even numbers)
- \(3,5,5,43, \text{Median}= (5+5)/2 = 5\) (Even numbers)

**Mode**
- The value that appears most often in a set of data
- Hint: Mode =”Most”
- \(3,5,5,8, \text{Mode} = 5 ; 3,5,5,43, \text{Mode} = 5\)
- \(1,2,3,4, \text{No Mode}\)

**Range**
- The Range is the difference between the lowest and highest values
- \(3,5,5,8, \text{Range} = 8 - 3=5 ; 3,5,5,43, \text{Range} = 43 - 3= 40\)
- Illustrates the spread of the data
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Descriptive Statistics

**Standard Deviation**

- A measure of the dispersion or variation in a distribution, lack of dispersion can result in a lack of outlier.
- If the data is close together, the standard deviation is small. If the data is spread out, the standard deviation is large.
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Descriptive Statistics – Excel Tool
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## Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

### Descriptive Statistics – Excel Output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Services per Bene</th>
<th>Inv. Age of Benes</th>
<th>% of Benes with Diabetes</th>
<th>Inv Health Risk Score of Benes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>$228.24</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1942 Mean</td>
<td>37% Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>0.40 Standard Error</td>
<td>0.01 Standard Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>$196.69</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1941 Median</td>
<td>36% Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>#N/A</td>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>1941 Mode</td>
<td>41% Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>153.65</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>4.03 Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.12 Standard Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Variance</td>
<td>23,608.47</td>
<td>Sample Variance</td>
<td>16.26 Sample Variance</td>
<td>0.01 Sample Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>20.07</td>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>0.86 Kurtosis</td>
<td>0.53 Kurtosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0.28 Skewness</td>
<td>0.65 Skewness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$1,245.86</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>23 Range</td>
<td>60% Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>$10.49</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1931 Minimum</td>
<td>15% Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$1,256.36</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1954 Maximum</td>
<td>75% Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>$22,823.87</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>194,186 Sum</td>
<td>36.93 Sum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>100 Count</td>
<td>100.00 Count</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Ranking and Percentile – Excel Tool and Output

Excel has a tool to Rank providers based on their sorted position in each variable

- Provider’s ranking is generated within each indicator
- Total ranking is calculated by addition the total ranking – the lower the total ranking the more an outlier a provider is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>Point</th>
<th>% of females with Diabetes</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>% of males with Diabetes</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Total Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_00001</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>39.30%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>40.60%</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_00002</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>70.70%</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>46.50%</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_00003</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>76.00%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>61.36%</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_00004</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>94.90%</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>97.00%</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_00005</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>50.50%</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_00006</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>84.40%</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>45.30%</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_00007</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>89.80%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>63.60%</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_00008</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>98.90%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>49.53%</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_00009</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>73.70%</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>54.64%</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_00010</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>88.80%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>88.11%</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Z-score

- A measure of how far a value is from the mean in terms of the number of standard deviations
  - for example, provider payment per beneficiary
- The raw data is re-scaled to have mean 0 and standard deviation 1
- A raw data value that is exactly equal to the mean corresponds to a Z-score value of 0
- The z-score re-scaling of data is commonly used to identify outliers
- Z-score may be used as a ranking method using multiple indicators – Composite Ranking
- Re-scaled data loses its original interpretation (change of units)

\[
Z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}
\]

\(\mu\) = Mean
\(\sigma\) = Standard Deviation
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Z – Score – Excel Formulas and Output

- Computing Z-score - one variable/Indicator
  - Payment per Bene

- Mean

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>St Dev</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1,256.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>=AVERAGE($B$2:$B$91)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

- St. Dev (Standard Deviation)

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>St Dev</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_365774</td>
<td>$1,256.36</td>
<td>$246.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>=STDEV($B$2:$B$91)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

- Z-score

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>St Dev</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_365774</td>
<td>$1,256.36</td>
<td>$246.78</td>
<td>$149.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>=((B2-C2)/D2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Z - Score

Composite Ranking
- Includes multiple indicators in the ranking method
- Z- score is calculated for each indicator
- Providers who are above 2 or 3 Standard deviation above the mean are consider outliers
- This is a simple way of ranking providers on multiple indicators

Disadvantages
- Loses the original interpretation of the raw data
- Gives equal weights to all indicators in composite ranking
### Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

#### Z – Score (Composite Ranking) – Excel Output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>AA</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>AC</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>AE</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_365774</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_573433</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_998581</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_744767</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_306931</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871960</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_101716</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871129</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_473715</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_513905</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_550162</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Z - Score (Composite Ranking) – Excel Steps

Step 1: Mean

Step 2: STDEV

Step 3: Subtract mean from Value

Step 4: Calculate Z-score

Step 5: What-If Analysis

=IF(X>=3,1,0)

Step 5: What-If Analysis
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Z – Score (Composite Ranking) – Excel Output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>Step 2</th>
<th>Step 3</th>
<th>Step 4</th>
<th>Step 5</th>
<th>Total Z-Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_365774</td>
<td>$1,256.36</td>
<td>$228.24</td>
<td>152.88</td>
<td>$1,028.12</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_573433</td>
<td>$530.77</td>
<td>$228.24</td>
<td>152.88</td>
<td>$302.53</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_998581</td>
<td>$678.83</td>
<td>$228.24</td>
<td>152.88</td>
<td>$450.59</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_744767</td>
<td>$421.01</td>
<td>$228.24</td>
<td>152.88</td>
<td>$192.77</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_306931</td>
<td>$286.01</td>
<td>$228.24</td>
<td>152.88</td>
<td>$57.78</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871960</td>
<td>$550.05</td>
<td>$228.24</td>
<td>152.88</td>
<td>$321.81</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_101716</td>
<td>$528.02</td>
<td>$228.24</td>
<td>152.88</td>
<td>$299.78</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871129</td>
<td>$422.63</td>
<td>$228.24</td>
<td>152.88</td>
<td>$194.40</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_473715</td>
<td>$379.76</td>
<td>$228.24</td>
<td>152.88</td>
<td>$51.53</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Box plot

- A good way to summarize large amounts of data
- A measure of spread, based on dividing a data set into quartiles
  - Q1 is the "middle" value in the first half of the rank-ordered data set
  - Q2 is the median value in the set.
  - Q3 is the "middle" value in the second half of the rank-ordered data set
- Right tail Outlier = 75th Percentile + 1.5*IQR (Inter-Quartile Range),
  - where IQR = (Q3 − Q1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>Payment per Bene</th>
<th>Services per Bene</th>
<th>Inv. Age</th>
<th>% of Benes with Diabetes</th>
<th>Inv Health</th>
<th>Box Plot Pmt Outlier?</th>
<th>Box Plot Servs Outlier?</th>
<th>Box Plot Age Outlier?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>573433</td>
<td>$530.77</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>33.00%</td>
<td>0.862515</td>
<td>Yes = 1; No = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 1; No = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 1; No = 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

#### Boxplot - Excel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Service Per Bene</th>
<th>Inv. Age of Benes</th>
<th>Benes with Diabetes</th>
<th>Inv Health Risk Score of Benes</th>
<th>Box Plot PMT Outlier?</th>
<th>Box Plot Servs Outlier?</th>
<th>Box Plot Age Outlier?</th>
<th>Box Plot Diab Outlier?</th>
<th>Box Plot Health Outlier?</th>
<th>Number of Outlier (Max = 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_573433</td>
<td>$530.77</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_365774</td>
<td>$1,256.36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_998581</td>
<td>$678.83</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871960</td>
<td>$550.05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_101716</td>
<td>$528.02</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1939</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_744767</td>
<td>$421.01</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1939</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_306931</td>
<td>$286.01</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_482392</td>
<td>$23.85</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871129</td>
<td>$422.63</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_550162</td>
<td>$414.21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cluster analysis

• Systematically way of grouping providers using measure of similarity
• Summarize the descriptive statistics of the clusters, including the mean value (centroid) of each cluster

• Diverse types of variables can be used to cluster the data

• For example, in healthcare claims data some of the indicators that can be included are:
  • Procedure codes
  • Place of service
  • Payment amount

• Understanding the data is essential because not all potential indicators will be informative in clustering the data properly, and using the most fitting indicators will reduce misclassification
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### Cluster Analysis – Excel Output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Avg. Age of Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Distance to CENTROID 1</th>
<th>Distance to CENTROID 2</th>
<th>Distance to CENTROID 3</th>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Avg. Age of Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Minimum Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_365774</td>
<td>$1,256.36</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,087.0448</td>
<td>955.6215</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cluster 3</td>
<td>0.002875384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_998581</td>
<td>$678.83</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>509.5522</td>
<td>378.1216</td>
<td>577.5395</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>378.1216224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871960</td>
<td>$550.05</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>380.8877</td>
<td>249.6198</td>
<td>378.1216</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>249.6198434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_573433</td>
<td>$530.77</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>361.6537</td>
<td>230.4279</td>
<td>725.7152</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>230.4278977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_101716</td>
<td>$528.02</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>358.7220</td>
<td>227.2924</td>
<td>728.3332</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>227.2923592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871129</td>
<td>$422.63</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>253.4883</td>
<td>122.1386</td>
<td>833.7477</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>122.1385945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_744767</td>
<td>$421.01</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>251.7130</td>
<td>120.2825</td>
<td>835.3481</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>120.2825117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_556162</td>
<td>$414.21</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>244.9179</td>
<td>113.5649</td>
<td>842.1536</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>113.5648968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_472611</td>
<td>$406.85</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>237.7992</td>
<td>106.5500</td>
<td>849.5517</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>106.5500194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_900197</td>
<td>$372.45</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>203.2012</td>
<td>71.8051</td>
<td>883.9102</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>71.80512016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_158559</td>
<td>$348.24</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>178.9332</td>
<td>47.5096</td>
<td>908.1116</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>47.50956181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cluster Analysis – Excel Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cluster Center 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cluster Center 2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cluster Center 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment Per Bene</td>
<td>Avg. Age of Benef</td>
<td>Payment Per Bene</td>
<td>Avg. Age of Benef</td>
<td>Payment Per Bene</td>
<td>Avg. Age of Benef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169.3123609</td>
<td>71.82497411</td>
<td>300.7373787</td>
<td>73.38276732</td>
<td>1256.35282</td>
<td>73.99812514</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Distance to CENTROID 1 = SQRT((B2-$L$3)^2+(C2-$M$3)^2)
- CLASS = IF(MIN(E2:G2)=E2,"Cluster1",IF(MIN(E2:G2)=F2,"Cluster2","Cluster3"))
- Minimum Distance = IF(J2="Cluster2",F2,IF(J2="Cluster3",G2,IF(J2="Cluster1",E2))

Sum of Minimum Distance

![Solver Parameters](image)
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“The most that can be expected from any model is that it can supply a useful approximation to reality: All models are wrong; some models are useful.” — George E.P. Box, British Statistician, 2005
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Predictive Modeling – Overview

What is Predictive Modeling?
• Predictive modeling is a process through which a future outcome or behavior is predicted based on the historical data at hand
  • The probability of a provider joining the exclusion list - historical data is the exclusion list
  • The probability of a provider joining a list of providers to be investigated – the historical data is the list investigations
  • The probability of a provider (beneficiary) staying with medical group or health plan – the historical data is the list of providers who left

Why Predictive Modeling?
• Preventing future fraud – cost saving to the programs
• Investigating providers before they can do more damage or commit more fraud
• Proactively initiating programs to retain providers or beneficiaries within the medical group or health plan

Outcome of Predictive Modeling?
• The goal is to determine the likelihood of the outcome – the higher the probability the more likely the outcome will occur
  • To be excluded from the programs
  • To be included in the investigation list
  • To stay with the medical group or health plan
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Predictive Modeling – Building Blocks

Terms commonly used in Predictive Modeling

• **Logistic Regression** – a predictive model used when the outcome is “Yes” or “No”
• **Training Dataset** – dataset that includes both historical and current data with clear distinction of the outcomes – coded 1 for “Yes” and 0 for “No”
• **Weights (Coefficients)** – numbers that express the importance of variables
• **P-values** – numbers that express the strength of association between the outcome and variables
• **Odds Ratio (OR)** – another way of expressing probability; 75% probability is equal to OR of 3
• **Log-Likelihood Algorithm** – algorithm that maximizes the likelihood of obtaining the observed data
• **Scoring Dataset** – new data on individuals/entities whose probabilities of outcomes will be computed

Excel Tools

• **Data Analysis Regression** – add-in tool available in Excel
• **Excel Solver** – add-in tool available in Excel

This document is privileged and proprietary. Redistribution is not authorized without permission of IntegrityM.
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Predictive Modeling – Workflow

- **Step 1**
  Download PUF and LEIE data, run descriptive statistics, identify variables and create the analytical file/training dataset.

- **Step 2**
  Assign random probability numbers to the providers according to their original classification (“1” or “0”) and compute odds ratio.

- **Step 3**
  Compute Odds Ratio and run Regression of Odds Ratio on the 5 variables of the analytical file.

- **Step 4**
  Insert the estimated regression weights (coefficients) into the “Coefficients Table.”

- **Step 5**
  Compute the Log-likelihood using the values in the “Coefficients Table.”
Step 6
Run Excel Solver using as objective function the sum of the Log-likelihood. The Solver will find the weights (coefficients) that maximize the Log-likelihood – the likelihood of obtaining the observed data.

Step 7
Create the model covariance matrix to test the significance of the logistic regression estimated weights.

Step 8
Create a dataset of active non-excluded (PUF) providers including the relevant indicators to score their probability of being excluded using the weights generated by the logistic regression.

Step 9
Score probabilities of non-excluded providers.

Step 10
Review results and conduct additional analysis.
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Predictive Modeling – Excel Output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Services Per Bene</th>
<th>Avg. Age of Benes</th>
<th>% of Benes with Diabetes</th>
<th>Avg. Health Risk Score of Benes</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>to the power of L</th>
<th>Probability (Px)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_365774</td>
<td>$1,256.36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>45.00%</td>
<td>1.4592</td>
<td>19.80</td>
<td>396,550,446.39</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_573433</td>
<td>$530.77</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33.00%</td>
<td>1.1594</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>567.97</td>
<td>99.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_473715</td>
<td>$279.76</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>71.00%</td>
<td>1.3161</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>116.35</td>
<td>99.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_737783</td>
<td>$278.92</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>37.00%</td>
<td>0.7488</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>31.22</td>
<td>96.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_101716</td>
<td>$528.02</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>0.8668</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>93.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871960</td>
<td>$550.05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>57.00%</td>
<td>2.5261</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>91.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_998581</td>
<td>$678.83</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>24.00%</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>70.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_158539</td>
<td>$348.24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>0.8751</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>62.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_306931</td>
<td>$286.01</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
<td>1.3195</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>61.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_124885</td>
<td>$217.29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>52.00%</td>
<td>1.1407</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>59.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_638763</td>
<td>$296.67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>26.00%</td>
<td>1.0391</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

100 random providers were selected to estimate their probabilities of exclusion
The top 10 providers have at least 59% of exclusion probability
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Predictive Modeling – Executing the Steps

**Step 1: Training dataset**

- The training dataset included
  - 18 GP physicians excluded from the Medicare program and
  - A sample of 72 physicians active in the program (non-excluded)
- 5 variables
  - Payment Per Beneficiary
  - Number of Services Per Beneficiary
  - Average Age of Beneficiaries
  - Percentage of Beneficiaries with Diabetes
  - The average CMS-computed beneficiary health risk score

- The objective of using a training dataset is to assess the importance (weights) of the 5 variables in predicting the outcome of being excluded
# Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

## Predictive Modeling – Step 1: Training Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>EXCLUDED</th>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Services Per Bene</th>
<th>Avg. Age of Benes</th>
<th>% of Bene with Diabetes</th>
<th>Avg. Health Risk Score of Benes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_331177</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$627.41</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>0.9924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_607721</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$576.74</td>
<td>13.43</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>35.00%</td>
<td>1.0212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_728367</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$836.23</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>37.00%</td>
<td>1.5547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_376426</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$287.10</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
<td>1.1845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_136308</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$558.54</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>47.00%</td>
<td>1.1187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_229648</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1,767.16</td>
<td>67.83</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>1.2638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_277298</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$447.73</td>
<td>15.93</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>38.00%</td>
<td>1.0345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_192713</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$262.48</td>
<td>10.48</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>19.00%</td>
<td>1.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_572197</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$219.62</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>34.00%</td>
<td>1.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_374411</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$446.12</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>1.6322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Steps 2-6: Intermediary steps

- **Step 2:** Probabilities between 50-99% were assigned to excluded providers; and probabilities between 1-49% were assigned to non-excluded providers
- **Step 3:** The Odds Ratios were computed for each of the providers
- **Step 4:** Regression weights (coefficients) were estimated
- **Step 5:** Using the initial weights computed in Step 4, the Log-Likelihood was generated for each of the providers
- **Step 6:** The Excel Solver was run using the sum of the Log-likelihood and the initial weights to generate the final weights for each of the variables

Step 7: P-Value

- The P-value of each variable was computed to assess the strength of the association between the variable and the outcome
- The lower the p-value the stronger the association between variables
- Standard rule is to consider p-values <= 5% as indicative of statistically significant association
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Predictive Modeling - Step 6: Final Weights

Solver Options
Max Time Unlimited, Iterations Unlimited, Precision 0.000001
Convergence 0.0001, Population Size 100, Random Seed 0, Derivatives Central
Max Subproblems Unlimited, Max Integer Sols Unlimited, Integer Tolerance 1%

Objective Cell (Max)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Original Value</th>
<th>Final Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U$ Log(Maximum Likelihood)</td>
<td>-37.00302969</td>
<td>-17.56488668</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variable Cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Original Value</th>
<th>Final Value</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U$ Intercept</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5150</td>
<td>17.7796</td>
<td>Contin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$ Payment Per Bene</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0359</td>
<td>Contin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$ Services Per Bene</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.1142</td>
<td>-0.9372</td>
<td>Contin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$ Avg. Age of Benes</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0498</td>
<td>-0.2324</td>
<td>Contin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$ % of Bene with Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6324</td>
<td>11.1129</td>
<td>Contin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$ Avg. Health Risk Score of Benes</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.8780</td>
<td>-8.8086</td>
<td>Contin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Predictive Modeling – Step 7: P-values

- P-value is calculated by:
  - The value of Wald = (Coef/STD DEV)^2
  - The value of P-value = CHISQ.DIST.RT(Wald,1), where CHISQ.DIST.RT is an Excel statistical function
  - The p-value of all the variable are less than 0.05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coef</th>
<th>VAR</th>
<th>STD DEV</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>17.7796</td>
<td>59.3103</td>
<td>=SQRT(C123)</td>
<td>0.0210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Payment Per Bene</td>
<td>0.0359</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>8.1835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Services Per Bene</td>
<td>-0.9372</td>
<td>0.1892</td>
<td>0.4350</td>
<td>4.6418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Avg. Age of Benes</td>
<td>-0.2324</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>0.1050</td>
<td>4.9017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>% of Bene with Diabetes</td>
<td>11.1129</td>
<td>17.6422</td>
<td>4.2003</td>
<td>7.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Avg. Health Risk Score of Benes</td>
<td>-8.8086</td>
<td>8.1025</td>
<td>2.8465</td>
<td>9.5763</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Believe me...! P value greater than 0.05 indicates chance of your drowning is not significant.
### Predictive Modeling - Step 8: Scoring

New data of 100 non-excluded providers are scored using the weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>Payment Per Bene</th>
<th>Services Per Bene</th>
<th>Inv. Age of Benes</th>
<th>% of Benes with Diabetes</th>
<th>Inv Health Risk Score of Benes L</th>
<th>e to the power of L</th>
<th>Probability (P_x)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_365774</td>
<td>$1,256.36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>45.00%</td>
<td>1.4592</td>
<td>19.80</td>
<td>396,550,446.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_573433</td>
<td>$530.77</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33.00%</td>
<td>1.1594</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>567.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_473715</td>
<td>$279.76</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>71.00%</td>
<td>1.3161</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>116.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_737783</td>
<td>$278.92</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>37.00%</td>
<td>0.7488</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>31.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_101716</td>
<td>$528.02</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>0.8668</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>14.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871960</td>
<td>$550.05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>57.00%</td>
<td>2.5261</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>11.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_998581</td>
<td>$678.83</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>24.00%</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_158539</td>
<td>$348.24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>0.8751</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_306931</td>
<td>$286.01</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
<td>1.3195</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_124885</td>
<td>$217.29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>52.00%</td>
<td>1.1407</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_638763</td>
<td>$296.67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>26.00%</td>
<td>1.0391</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outlier Detection Techniques/Statistical Tools

Results From The Outlier Detection Techniques

Eight out of the 10 providers were also in the top 10 in more than one statistical tool

- One provider was in the top 10 in all the statistical tools
- Four providers were in the top 10 in 5 of the statistical tools
- Two providers were in the top 10 in 4 of the statistical tools
- One provider was an outlier in 2 statistical tool

Two providers were in the top 10 in the predictive modeling only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider ID</th>
<th>Excel Ranking</th>
<th>Z – Score</th>
<th>Composite Ranking (Z-score)</th>
<th>Box-Plot</th>
<th>Cluster Analysis</th>
<th>Predictive Modeling</th>
<th>Number of Hits by Detection Tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID_365774</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_573433</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.82%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_473715</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.15%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_737783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96.90%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_101716</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td></td>
<td>93.68%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_871960</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>91.69%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_998581</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70.96%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_158539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62.56%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_306931</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td></td>
<td>61.85%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID_124885</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59.52%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sampling and Extrapolation
Statistical Sampling Methods

**Why sampling?**
- Limited amount of available audit resources makes unfeasible reviewing 100 percent of the items of a population
- Statistically valid random samples allow for the extrapolation of the sample audit results to the whole population
- Typical goals of sampling in health care programs include:
  - Checking if enrollment application procedures or eligibility status processes are complying with regulatory requirements (auditors record results as yes or no)
  - Determination of the possible existence of claim overpayments (auditors record results in dollars amount)

**Sampling requirements**
- The goal of the statistical requirements is to make sure that the sample is representative of the larger group
- The methodology does not need to be optimal as long as it is statistically valid - having a scientific basis with reference to regulatory guidance.
- The existence of multiple valid sample plans allows the auditor to choose the designs that less demanding in audit resources
- Proper documentation of the whole process is essential to make it fully replicable

**Main types of audit-oriented sampling**
- Attribute sampling – the goal is to find the proportion of items in the sample that meet a specified set of criteria and then estimate the number of population items in error
- Variable sampling – the goal is to determine the dollar amount of billing errors in the sample and then estimate the total dollar value of the errors made
Statistical Sampling Methods

Types of sample design frequently used in auditing

• Simple random sampling – involves the random selection of data from the entire population so that each possible sample is equally likely to occur
• Stratified random sampling – divides the population into smaller groups (strata) of similar characteristics and selects random sample from within each group
• If the technical statistical parameters are the same then the stratified random sampling approach saves audit resources as it requires smaller sample sizes than the simple random sampling method

Extrapolation

• Type of extrapolation
  • Error rate
  • Overpayment amounts
• The results of the sample review (audit) may or may not justify extrapolation
• The presence of “sustained or high level of payment error” in billing transactions justifies performing sampling to estimate the total dollar amount of billing errors (language taken from the Program Integrity Manual of CMS, Section 8.4.1.2)
  • Extrapolation is not justified if the error rate is low – in this case the recoupment is limited to the overpayment found in the sample
Statistical Sampling Methods

Software requirements to perform sampling
• Random number generator with the option of retaining seed numbers to make the process replicable
• Availability of key statistical distributions

Software packages/platforms to implement sampling
• Microsoft EXCEL
  • Includes available functions to retrieve information from embedded statistical distribution tables to be included in formulas that compute sample size and allocation of overall sample size across strata
• RAT-STATS (OIG)
  • Includes diverse sampling and extrapolation options by means of dropdown windows
  • Requires stratum boundary information to be fed into the system
• SAS, SPSS, R and other statistical packages
  • Allow for programming of procedures necessary to implement sampling and extrapolation procedures
  • Can incorporate program code to identify stratum boundaries
• GLYD(Σ)™
  • Allows for the implementation of sampling or extrapolation processes without the need of coding
  • Includes the identification of stratum boundaries without the need of coding
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